Advanced JML and more tips and pitfalls

David Cok, Joe Kiniry, and Erik Poll

Eastman Kodak Company, University College Dublin, and Radboud University Nijmegen

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.1/??

More advanced JML features

- Visibility
- Specifying (im)possibility of exceptions
- Assignable clauses and datagroups
- Aliasing
- Specification inheritance, ensuring behavioural subtyping
- Specification-only fields: ghost and mode fields
- The semantics of invariant

Remember the core JML keywords were

- requires
- ensures
- signals
- assignable
- normal_behavior
- invariant
- non null
- pure
- \old, \forall, \exists, \result

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutor

Visibility

Visibility

IML imposes visibility rules similar to Java, eg.

```
public class Bag{
    ...
    private int n;

//@ requires n > 0;
    public int extractMin(){ ... }
```

s not type-correct, because public method extractMin efers to private field n.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.5/??

Visibility: spec_public

Keyword spec_public loosens visibility for specs. Private spec_public fields are allowed in public specs, e.g.:

```
public class Bag{
    ...
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ int n;

    //@ requires n > 0;
    public int extractMin(){ ... }
```

exposing private details can be ugly, of course. A nicer, but more advanced alternative is to use public model fields to represent abstract away from) private implementation details.

```
public int pub; private int priv;

//@ requires i <= pub;
public void publ (int i) { ... }

//@ requires i <= pub && i <= priv;
private void priv1 (int i) ...

//@ requires i <= pub && i <= priv; // WRONG !!
public void pub2(int i) { ... }</pre>
```

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutor

Exceptions and JML

Exceptional specifications

A method specification can (dis)allow the throwing of exceptions, and specify a exceptional postcondition that should hold in the event an exception is thrown.

There are some implicit rules for (dis)allowing exceptions.

Varning: exceptional specifications are easy to get wrong.

lot allowing any exceptions to be thrown is the simplest approach.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.9/??

Exceptions allowed by specs

By default, a method is allowed to throw exceptions, but only those listed in its throws clause. So

```
//@ requires 0 <= amount && amount <= balance;
public int debit(int amount)
{ ... }</pre>
```

as an implicit clause

```
signals (Exception) false;
```

IB debit is now not even allowed to throw an unchecked exception, even though Java does not require a throws clause for these.

Exceptions allowed by specs

By default, a method is allowed to throw exceptions, but only those listed in its throws clause. So

has an implicit clause

```
signals (BankException) true;
and an implicit clause
signals (Exception e) e instanceof BankException;
```

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

Ruling out exceptions

To forbid a particular exception SomeException

- 1. omit it from throws clause (only possible for unchecked exceptions)
- 2. add an explicit

```
signals (SomeException) false;
```

3. limit the set of allowed exceptions, use a postcondition such as

```
signals (Exception e) e instanceof E1
|| ...
|| e instanceof En;
```

or, equivalently, us the shorthand for this

```
signals_only E1, ... En;
```

Ruling out exceptions

o forbid all exceptions

- 1. omit all exceptions from throws clause (only possible for unchecked exceptions)
- 2. add an explicit

```
signals (Exception) false;
```

3. use keyword normal_behavior to rule out all exceptions

```
/*@ normal_behavior requires ... ensures ... @*/
```

normal_behavior has implicit signals(Exception)false

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.13/??

exceptional_behavior

may vs must throw an exception

Beware of the difference between

- (1) if P holds, then SomeException is thrown and
 - (2) if SomeException is thrown, then P holds

These are easy to confuse!

- (2) can be expressed with signals,
- (1) can be expressed with exceptional_behavior

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

Example

```
/*@ normal_behavior
    requires amount <= balance;
    ensures ...
also
    exceptional_behavior
    requires amount > balance
    signals (BankException e) ...
@*/
public int debit(int amount) throws BankException
{ ... }
```

Example

Exceptional behaviour

or, equivalently

```
/*@ requires true;
   ensures \old(amount<=balance) && ...
   signals (BankException e)
        \old(amount>balance) && ...
   @*/
public int debit(int amount) throws BankException
{ ... }
```

Moral: to keep things simple, disallow exceptions in specs whenever possible

write a spec that disallows any throwing of exceptions, and only worry about specifying exceptional behaviour if this is really needed elsewhere.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.17/??

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

Problems with assignable clauses

Assignable clauses

• tend to expose implementation details

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int x;
...
//@ assignable x, ...;
public void m(...) {....}
```

• tend to become very long

```
//@ assignable x, y, z[*], ....;
```

• tend to accumulate

```
//@ assignable x, f.x, g.y, h.z[*], ....;
```

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.19/??

Assignable clauses and

datagroups

Problems with assignable clauses

```
public class Timer{
  /*@ spec_public @*/ int time_hrs, time_mins, time_secs;
  /*@ spec_public @*/ int alarm_hrs, alarm_mins, alarm_secs;

  //@ assignable time_hrs, time_mins, time_secs;

public void tick() { ... }

  //@ assignable alarm_hrs, alarm_mins, alarm_secs;

public void setAlarm(int hrs, int mins, int secs) { ... }
```

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.21/??

Datagroups

Datagroups provide an abstraction mechanism for essignable clauses.

here's a default datagroup objectState defined in

I's good practice to declare that all instance fields are in

Solution: datagroups

```
public class Timer{
  //@ model public JMLDatagroup time, alarm;
  int time_hrs, time_mins, time_secs; //@ in time;
  int alarm_hrs, alarm_mins, alarm_secs; //@ in alarm;

  //@ assignable time;
  public void tick() { ... }

  //@ assignable alarm;
  public void setAlarm(int hrs, int mins, int secs) { .
```

time and alarm are model fields, ie. specification-only fields

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

Datagroups can be nested

```
public class Timer{
  //@ model public JMLDatagroup time, alarm; //@ objectint time_hrs, time_mins, time_secs; //@ in time;
  int alarm_hrs, alarm_mins, alarm_secs; //@ in alarm;

  //@ assignable time;
  public void tick() { ... }

  //@ assignable alarm;
  public void setAlarm(int hrs, int mins, int secs) { ...
}
```

Assignable and arrays

Another implementation, using an array of 6 digits to epresent hrs:mns:secs

```
public class ArrayTimer{
  /*@ spec_public @*/ char[] currentTime;

  //@ invariant currentTime != null;
  //@ invariant currentTime.length == 6;

  //@ assignable currentTime[*];
  public void tick() { ... }

...
```

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.25/??

Datagroups and interfaces

Datagroups are convenient in specs for interfaces.

```
public interface TimerInterface{
   //@ model instance public JMLDatagroup time, alarm;

   //@ assignable time;
   public void tick();
   //@ assignable alarm;
   public void setAlarm(int hrs, int mins, int secs);
```

Classes implementing this interface are free to choose which fields are in the datagroups.

Keyword instance is needed, because fields in interfaces are by lefault static fields in Java. Interfaces in Java do not have instance elds, but in JML they can have *model* instance fields

Datagroups and arrays

Another implementation, using an array of 6 digits to represent hrs:mns:secs

```
public class ArrayTimer{
  //@ model public JMLDatagroup time;
  char[] currentTime; //@ in time;
  //@ maps currentTime[*] \into time;

  //@ invariant currentTime != null;
  //@ invariant currentTime.length == 6;

  //@ assignable time;
  public void tick() { ... }

  ...
}
```

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

The problem with assignable clauses

Despite the abstraction possibilities offered by datagroups, assignable clauses remain a bottlecneck both in program specification and in program verification.

Note that the proof obligation corresponding to an assignable clause is a very complicated one, involving a quantification over all fields not mentioned in the assignable clause

Aliasing

Aliasing is the root of all evil, for anyone trying trying to verify imperative programs.

The ArrayTimer class just earlier is another nice example to illustrate this.

Aliasing (revisited)

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.29/??

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

ArrayTimer example

Recall implementation using an array of 6 digits to epresent hrs:mns:secs

```
public class ArrayTimer{
  char[] currentTime;
  char[] alarmTime;

//@ invariant currentTime != null;
  //@ invariant currentTime.length == 6;
  //@ invariant alarmTime != null;
  //@ invariant alarmTime.length == 6;

public void tick() { ... }

public void setAlarm(...) { ... }
```

ArrayTimer example

Things will go wrong if different instances of ArrayTimer share the same alarmTime array, ie.

```
o.alarmTime == o'.alarmTime
```

for some o!=o'

ESC/Java2 may notice this, produce a correct, but puzzling, warning.

ArrayTimer example

ArrayTimer example

o rule out such aliasing of eg. alarmTime fields:

```
public class ArrayTimer{
  char[] alarmTime;
  //@ invariant currentTime.owner == this;
  ...

public ArrayTimer( ...){
    ...;
    currentTime = new char[6];
    //@ set currentTime.owner == this;
    ...
}

owner is a so-called ghost field, more about that later)
```

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.33/??

Specification-only fields: ghost and model fields

Things will go wrong if an instance of ArrayTimer aliases its alarmTime to its currentTime, ie.

```
o.alarmTime == o.currentTime
```

ESC/Java2 may notice this, produce a correct, but puzzling warning.

You should add

```
//@ invariant alarmTime != currentTime;
```

to rule out such aliasing.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

Ghost fields

Sometimes it is convenient to introduce an extra field, only for the purpose of specification (aka auxiliary variable).

A ghost field is like a normal field, except that it can only be used in specifications.

A special set command can be used to assign a value to a ghost field.

Ghost fields - example

Ghost fields - example

Suppose the informal spec of

```
class SimpleProtocol {
  void start() { ... }
  void stop() { ... }
}
```

ays that stop() may only be invoked after start(), and rice versa.

This can be expressed using a ghost field, to represent the state of the protocol.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.37/??

Ghost fields - example

Maybe the object has some internal state that that records if protocols is in progress, eg.

```
class SimpleProtocol {
    //@ private ProtocolStack st;
    ...
    void start() {
        ...
        st = new ProtocolStack(...);
        ... }

    void stop() {
        ...
        st = null;
        ... }
```

```
class SimpleProtocol {
    //@ boolean ghost started;

    //@ requires !started;

    //@ assignable started;

    //@ ensures started;

    void start() {
        ...
        //@ set started = true; }

    //@ requires started;

    //@ assignable started;

    //@ ensures !started;

    void stop() {
        ...
        //@ set started = false; }

        pawd Cok, Joe Kinity & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoris
```

Ghost fields - example

There may be correspondence between the ghost field and some other field(s), eg.

```
class SimpleProtocol {
  private ProtocolStack st;
  //@ boolean ghost started;
  //@ invariant started <==> (st !=null);
  //@ requires !started;
  //@ assignable started;
  //@ ensures
                  started;
  void start() { ... }
  //@ requires
                  started;
  //@ assignable started;
  //@ ensures
                 !started;
  void stop() { ... }
                                    David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria
```

Ghost fields - example

Model fields - example

Ve could now get rid of the ghost field, and write class SimpleProtocol { private spec_public ProtocolStack st; //@ requires st==null; //@ assignable st; //@ ensures st!=null; void start() { ... }

void stop() $\{$ \dots $\}$ but this is ugly and exposes implementation details.

st!=null;

st==null;

//@ requires

//@ ensures

//@ assignable st;

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.41/??

Model fields - example

A model field also provided an associated datagroup

```
Solution: use a model field
```

void stop() { ... }

```
class SimpleProtocol {
  private ProtocolStack st;

//@ boolean model started;
  //@ represents started <-- (st!=null);

//@ requires !started;
  //@ assigable started;
  //@ ensures started;

void start() { ... }

//@ requires started;
  //@ assigable started;
  //@ assigable started;
  //@ ensures !started;</pre>
```

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

Model vs ghost fields

Difference between ghost and model may be confusing! Both exist only in JML specification, and not in the code.

- Ghost
 - Ghost field is like a normal field.
 - You can assign to it, using set, in JML annotations.
- Model
 - Model field is an abstract field.
 - Model field is a convenient abbreviation.
 - You cannot assign to it.
 - Model field changes its value whenever the representation changes.

Model field is like 'abstract value' for ADT (algebraic data type), represent clause is like 'representation function'.

Invariants

Invariants – aka class invariants – are a common & very useful notion.

In larger programs, the (only) interesting thing to specify are the invariants.

However, the semantics is trickier than expected!

Invariant is implicitly included in pre- and postconditions of method, and in postcondition of constructors. But there's more ...

In fact, invariants are a hot research topic.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.45/??

When should an invariant hold?

```
public class A {
   B b;
   int i=2; //@ invariant i >= 0

   //@ ensures \result >=0;
   public /*@ pure @*/ int get(){ return i; }

   public void m(){
    i--;
        ...; // invariant possibly broken
    i++;
   }
An invariant can temporarily be broken.
```

Invariants

When should an invariant hold?

```
public class A {
   B b;
   int i=2; //@ invariant i >= 0

   //@ ensures \result >=0;
   public /*@ pure @*/ int get(){ return i; }

   public void m(){
   i--;
   b.m(...a); // invariant possibly broken
   i++;
}
```

This may lead to problems if invocation of b.m involves an invoking on the current object.

When should an invariant hold?

g, suppose

```
public class B {
...
  public void m(A a){
    ...
  int j = a.get(); //@ assert i>=0;
    ...
}
```

The spec of get() suggests the assertion will be true.

But if get() is invoked when a's invariant is broken, all pets are off.

his is known as the call-back problem.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.49/??

When should an invariant hold?

Sometimes you do want to invoke a method at a program point where the invariant is broken. To do this without ESC/Java2 complaining:

When should an invariant hold?

Solution to the call-back problem:

A method invariant should at all so-called visible states, which are all beginning and end states of method invocations

So there's more to invariants than just adding them to preand postconditons.

NB all invariants of all objects should hold at visible states; this clearly imposes impossible proof obligations.

ESC/Java2 looks only at the invariants of some objects; this is a source of unsoundness.

Modular verification techniques for invariants are a challenge, and still a hot topic of research.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria

When should an invariant hold?

Sometimes you do want to invoke a method at a program point where the invariant is broken. To do this without ESC/Java2 complaining:

• A private method can be declared as helper method

```
private /*@ helper @*/ void m() { ... }
```

Invariants do not have to hold when such a helper method is called.

Effectively, such methods are in-lined in verifications

When should an invariant hold?

Sometimes you do want to invoke a method at a program point where the invariant is broken. To do this without ESC/Java2 complaining:

A private method can be declared as helper method

```
private /*@ helper @*/ void m() { ... }
```

Invariants do not have to hold when such a helper method is called.

Effectively, such methods are in-lined in verifications

add

```
//@ nowarn Invariant
```

in the line where this method call occurs. NB this is unsafe, and a possible source of unsoundness!

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutorial - p.53/??

More problems with invariants

The essence of the problem is that the invariant of one object o may depend on the state of another object o'.

When verifying the methods of o', we have no way of mowing if these may break invariants given in other slasses ...

This is one of the sources of unsoundness of ESC/Java(2), and most approaches to modular verification of OO programs to date.

Only recently workable approaches for modular verification of invariants have been proposed, and the last word has not been said on this.

More problems with invariants

```
public class SortedLinkedList {
  private int i;
  private LinkedList next;
  //@ invariant i > next.i;
  public /*@ pure @*/ int getValue(){ return i; }
  public /*@ pure @*/ int getNext(){ return next; }
  public /*@ pure @*/ int getValue(){ return i; }
  public void inc() { i++; }
}
```

inc won't break this object's invariant, but may break the invariant of the object who has this object as it's next.

David Cok, Joe Kiniry & Erik Poll - ESC/Java2 & JML Tutoria